ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M123436

 Date Received:
 09/17/09

 Date Extracted:
 09/21/09

 Date Analyzed:
 09/22/09

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works PO M123436, F&BI 909179

909179-01 x10 909179-01 x10.017

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: btb

Internal Standard: Germanium % Recovery: 78

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works PO M123436, F&BI 909179 Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Date Extracted: 09/21/09 Lab ID: I9-382 mb Date Analyzed: 09/22/09 Data File: I9-382 mb.009

Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1
Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: btb

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 95 60 125

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 09/24/09 Date Received: 09/17/09

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M123436, F&BI 909179

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 909198-01 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	9.38	10.4	10	0-20
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	6.04	7.21	18	0-20
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	59.2	65.2	10	0-20
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	20.4	21.6	6	0-20

Laboratory Code: 909198-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	9.38	95 b	50-150
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.04	89 b	50-150
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	59.2	110 b	50-150
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	20.4	87 b	50-150

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Percent Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	70-130
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	70-130
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	70-130
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$ nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

September 24, 2009



INVOICE #09ACU0924-1

Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Metro Self Monitor, PO M123436, F&BI 909179 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on September 17, 2009.

FEDERAL TAX ID #(b) (6)

Company ALASKAN Compek Ways Address 628 5 Howard 87 City, State, ZIP Seattle was 98/34 Phone # 206-87/W3Fax #206-382-4309 PROJECT NAMENO. MEDIO Grab REMARKS REMARKS REMARKS ANALYSES REQUESTED Sample ID ANALYSES REQUESTED	Gion la Senzia	THOM	QS:>~	SA	MRLERS (si	sectore)		4 7	_		>	ą.	Ī		TURN	ofof_
City, State, ZIP Seattle u.s. 98134 Phone # 206-871033Fax #206-382-4809 REMARKS SAMPLE DISPOSE after 30 days Return samples Will call with instruction ANALYSES REQUESTED	my ALASKAN Q	SPER L	ways_	100	•	<u> </u>		i se	****	M			1 1	J'RUS	H ,	cey
Soline Soline 10 # 00 # 8021B	bate, ZIP Seattle			-	EMARKS				\$ £					Retu Will	ose afti In Sein	olcs
3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8									-	ANA	LYSE	SREQ	UEST	ED		
Sample ID Lab ID Date Time Sample Type Containers HE HAI SOON S					es A s		72	ine 21 B	560	270		22			12 34 34	

Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Hay NEZ	æ					Notes
M 123436	01	9/17	200	HOD	1/						Ť	N					* 1	
(4) II				92														8 (48) Q
<i>*</i>	-		1	-														AX
		, (* Q	*														3	
																	T.	
		A	8.0															# U
	•	3 89									T	\exists						
		if.	-	- 4 (g								=			24			
			Ä								T							
	Relinquished by:	SIGNATUR	E	G	PRINT	la	<i>ک</i> م	250	/ = t	T	-	Je	-) .			ATE	TIME 2:55px
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by: Relinquished by:	Nh	Mhan Phan					FEBI					9/1	7,69	£!\$\$			
Fax (206) 283-5044 FORMSVOUCCOCC	Received by:							9										

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

September 24, 2009

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on September 17, 2009 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M123436, F&BI 909179 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0924R.DOC